

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/821,137	OKUNSEINDE ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Jared M. Bibbee	2161	

All Participants:

(1) Jared M. Bibbee.

(2) Gerald Glanzman.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 23 May 2007

Time: 11:00 AM

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

35 U.S.C. 112 2nd Para with respect to independent claims 1, 11, 17, and 27

Claims discussed:

1, 11, 17, and 27

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Examiner suggested language that would overcome the 35 U.S.C. 112 2nd paragraph rejection. Applicant agreed to consider the suggestion and file a supplemental amendment.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)